



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,670	01/05/2006	Thomas Gore	I-2002.025 US	4798
31846 7590 08/01/2008 Intervet/Schering-Plough Animal Health PATENT DEPARTMENT PO BOX 318 29160 Intervet Lane MILLSBORO, DE 19966-0318				
EXAMINER				
HURT, SHARON L				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1648				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/01/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/539,670

**Applicant(s)**

GORE ET AL.

**Examiner**

SHARON HURT

**Art Unit**

1648

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SHARON HURT.(3) Aaron Schwartz.(2) Bruce Campbell.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 28 and 29.

Identification of prior art discussed: Appel et al. and Pratelli et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The differences between CPV-1 and CPV-2 were discussed in detail. Parvovirus taxonomy articles submitted by Applicant were discussed. Prior art was discussed. Applicant discussed possible claim amendments to be filed after Final to move closer towards allowance. No decision was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sharon Hurt/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1648

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required